



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,274	08/22/2003	Gust H. Bardy	020.0340.US.CON	9456	
7	7590 10/25/2004		EXAMINER		
Law Offices of Patrick J.S. Inouye			EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
Suite 258			ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
810 Third Ave	nue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Seattle, WA	98104		3762		

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,274	BARDY, GUST H.	$\sim h$			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George R Evanisko	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communica ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Au	<u>ugust 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		s is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-85 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-85 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Experimental Control of the Experimental Control of the Control of		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>multiple</u>. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification does not include the term "absence" when referring to the analysis or analysis module testing the patient for ischemia. The specification does not include the term "hysteresis threshold" (the specification uses "hysteresis" or "stickiness threshold").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 13, 25, 33, 49, 65, 77, 81, and 85, "against a predetermined indicator threshold, including at least one hysteresis threshold" is vague since it can not be determined if the phrase "including at least one hysteresis threshold" is related to the indicator threshold or the testing against the patient status change. In addition, it unclear if the "hysteresis threshold" is the same as the stickiness threshold. If the hysteresis threshold is the same as the stickiness threshold, it can not be related to the indicator threshold since they are different. Also, claims 6, 18, 30, 46, 62, and 74, should be clarified to state that the "hysteresis threshold comprises a set of stickiness...." if the hysteresis threshold is the same as the stickiness threshold.

Art Unit: 3762

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-85 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-85 of U.S. Patent No. 6368284. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims meet the limitations of the application claims. In addition, to include a server to perform the retrieving and processing in the patented claims would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since it was known in the art for a monitoring system to use a server to retrieve and process the data since a server would provide an automated and efficient means to quickly process, retrieve, and display the data and prognosis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

10/22/4

GRE October 22, 2004